

---

---

911.375+711.4352

: , 2018, 2 (98), . 241–263

• • , • •

/

. , -  
, c . , -  
, , -  
, ( ), , -  
, ( ), / -  
, , -  
, , -  
, ; ; -  
: ; ;  
: , 2018, 2 (98) 241

1 : -  
[12;  
13; 15].

[7; 14], «  
», « -  
... -  
» [20, с. 298]. -  
-  
[8; 11], -

,  
[10; 16]. -

2, -  
[3; 4] -  
/ -  
,

: ( ), ( )  
( ).  
50 . . ,

---

1 « », « » -

2 . 28.06.2014 172- « -  
» ( .10 .8), -  
29.12.2004 190- ( .1 .5.1). -

[19].

,

-

, ,

-

,

.

-

.

.

,

-

.

1969 . . .

[6]

«

» ( . 1).

,

-

-

/

[6]

8		
7		
6		
5		
4		
3		
2	«	»
1		

, ( ),  
(« »). 3,4 5

6,7 8

, — , , , «  
» « »— .  
« », .

[17].

— :  
, — ,  
· ,  
« ».

:

,



( ) . , -

3.

2017 . , -

4.

- , -

»

«

2017 . -

---

3 . .5 .28

4 . .5.1

131- .

— , —

, , . —

, . —

, . (

), ,

, , , —

, , , —

« » « —

».

— , —

, ,

5, . —

, , —

, . —

, 6. , —

( . —

) . —

, —

.

---

5 . .5.1

6 . .13

(30,8 . .)– , XVIII .  
: « » «  
» ( - ) .  
(30,9 . .) XII .  
« », «  
», « » .  
2010 .  
« - - » .  
2015 .  
« » .  
(13,7 . .)  
XII .  
, XVIII .  
, 1990-  
« « » (

/

---

):

« » ( ), « -

», « ».

, -

(18 -

) . 300 .

23% ( -

26%). ,

692 : 241 - , 223 - , 228 - . -

319 373 ,

43 a. 346 , 134 - , 109 -

, 103 - -

. 139 . .

29 . -

( , , , -

, , , -

, ) .

. 2 . 1-6.

1 5

: 1- , 2- , 3- , 4-

, 5- . ( ,

) -

: 1- , 5- . -


( ) . -

. -

/


.

?	4,32
-	1,81
?	
- /	3,16
?	
, / ?	3,11
- / (	3,11
/ : / / )?	
, ?	2,42
?	1,79
-	1,64
?	
, ?	1,81
?	1,68
	1,68
?	
, ( ) - -	2,71
?	
- ( ) -	1,93
?	
( ) -	1,67
?	
, ? , -	2,02



. 1.

, %



. 2.

( , % )

1 -

; 2 -

; 3 -


; 4 -

; 5 -

, ( , / / ; 6 - )

; 7 -


.. ; 8 -



. 3.

: «

?, %



. 4.

, %

1 -

; 2 -

; 3 -

; 4 -


/

; 5 -

; 7 -


; 8 -

; 6 -



. 5.

: « ?», %



. 6.

: « , , ?», %

( . . 2).

(47% ).

( ) 25% . -  
 28% , -  
 35 . , -  
 3% - -  
 . -  
 , ( . .1), -  
 , , , -  
 , [2]. -  
 20% -  
 . -  
 , -  
 ( . .2). -  
 , -  
 :« , -  
 , ?» -  
 « » ( . .3). -  
 : 75% -  
 , ( . .4). 3 -  
 (24%) 10 -  
 , - -  
 . -

(67%)  
 , 63%  
 22%  
 ( ) - (48 52%)  
 (32%).  
 (33,37 21%),  
 ( p- , 0,05):  
 ; ;  
 ; ;  
 . - ,  
 .  
 . - ,  
 , ...  
 ,  
 [18]. - ,  
 . ó  
 ,  
 , , ,  
 - ,  
 20 , ,  
 , ,  
 , [18; 21; 22]

... .. -

( p- , 0,05), , -

« » -

27% , -

-58% ( -14%, -9%). -

( . .5). -

« » -

40%. -

( . .6). -

12% -





«  
»  
» ( -4946.2018.6),  
«  
»  
( 14-18-00083)

1. : 1997–2002 . – M.:
2. //  
– 2002. – 2. – . 23–31.
3. // -  
I .  
/ . . . .  
: , 2012. – . 11, . 2. – . 56–72.
4. . – .:  
«  
», 2015. – 32 c.
5. C. : -  
, 2017. – 416 c.
6. *Arnstein S.* A ladder of citizen participation // *Journal of the American Institute of Planners.* – 1969. – Vol. 35 (4). – P. 216–224.
7. *Blokland T., Hentschel C., Holm A. et al.* Urban citizenship and right to the city: The fragmentation of claims // *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research.* – 2015. – Vol. 39 (4). – P. 655–665.
8. *Creative approaches to planning and local development: Insights from small and medium-sized towns in Europe* / Ed. by A. Hamdouch, T. Nyseth, C. Demazière et al. – Abingdon: Routledge, 2017. – 280 p.
9. *Douglas G.* The formalities of informal improvement: technical and scholarly knowledge at work in do-it-yourself urban design // *Journal of Urbanism: International Research on Placemaking and Urban Sustainability.* – 2016. – Vol. 9 (2). – P. 117–134.
10. *Dowding K., Dunleavy P., King D., Margetts M.* Rational choice and community power structures // *Political Studies.* – 1995. – Vol. 43. – P. 265–277.

---

11. *Friesecke F.* Public participation in urban development projects – A German perspective. – URL: [http://www.fig.net/resources/proceedings/fig\\_proceedings/fig2011/ppt/ts03d/ts03d\\_friesecke\\_4868\\_ppt.pdf](http://www.fig.net/resources/proceedings/fig_proceedings/fig2011/ppt/ts03d/ts03d_friesecke_4868_ppt.pdf) ( : 04.02.2018).

12. *Grabkowska M.* Between gentrification and reurbanisation: The participatory dimension of bottom-up regeneration in Gdansk, Poland // *Geographie*. – 2015. – Vol. 120 (2). – P. 210–225.

13. *Hess D., Hiob M.* Preservation by neglect in Soviet-era: town planning in Tartu, Estonia // *Journal of Planning History*. – 2014. – Vol. 13. – P. 24–29.

14. *Kemp A., Lebuhn H., Rattner G.* Between neoliberal governance and the right to the city: Participatory politics in Berlin and Tel Aviv // *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. – 2014. – Vol. 39 (4). – P. 704–725.

15. *Liepa-Zemesa M., Hess D.* Effects of public perception on urban planning: Evolution of an inclusive planning system during crises in Latvia // *Town Planning Review*. – 2016. – Vol. 87 (1). – P. 71–92.

16. *Maier K.* Citizen participation in planning: climbing a ladder? // *European Planning Studies*. – 2001. – Vol. 9 (6). – P. 707–719.

17. *Putnam R.D.* *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. – N.Y.: Simon & Schuster, 2010. – 544 p.

18. *Raagmaa G.* Regional identity in regional development and planning // *European Planning Studies*. – 2002. – Vol. 10 (1). – P. 55–76.

19. *Robinson J.* *Ordinary cities: between modernity and development*. – London: Routledge, 2006. – 244 p.

20. *Rose N., Miller P.* Political power beyond the state: Problematics of government // *The British Journal of Sociology*. – 2009. – Vol. 61. – P. 271–303.

21. *Sedlacek S., Kurka B., Maier G.* Regional identity: a key to overcome structural weaknesses in peripheral rural regions? // *European Countryside*. – 2009. – Vol. 1 (4). – P. 180–201.

22. *Semian M., Chromy P.* Regional identity as a driver or a barrier in the process of regional development: A comparison of selected European experience // *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift*. – 2014. – Vol. 68 (5). – P. 263–270.

( , ) –  
(119017,  
,  
, 29, e-mail: [msgunko@igras.ru](mailto:msgunko@igras.ru)).

( , ) –  
(119991, , -1, ,  
e-mail: [pivovar.gal@gmail.com](mailto:pivovar.gal@gmail.com)).

**M.S. Gunko, G.A. Pivovar**

## **CITIZEN PARTICIPATION / NON-PARTICIPATION IN URBAN PLANNING**

*With Russia shifting from state socialism to neoliberal capitalism, the system of urban planning also underwent a change. Citizen participation in urban planning is currently declared as a socially desirable practice ensuring that the interests of ordinary citizens and power holders are in balance, which contributes to the sustainable development of cities and the urban environment. In reality, however, the multiplicity of ways to involve citizens in planning, their specificity and limitations reveal the immaturity of the legal field, complicating the participation process. The article analyzes individual determinants, as well as motivations for citizen participation/non-participation in long-term urban planning based on the data collected through a sociological survey in small towns: Kirov (Kaluga Oblast), Kasimov (Ryazan Oblast), Belev (Tula Oblast). We show that citizen participation in formal urban planning is not a common practice. Only a small proportion of respondents are ready to continually cooperate with the local administration in solving issues of local importance within normatively defined forms of direct participation. Most are ready to become more active only when it is necessary to address an urgent problem, giving preference to informal practices and interventions in the urban landscape that can be attributed to do-it-yourself urbanism.*

**Keywords:** small towns; citizen participation in planning; participatory planning; local self-government.

*The section «Population participation in urban planning in Russia: analysis of legislation» is written by G.A. Pivovar in the framework of the project «Strategies and Tactics of Urban Planning in Depopulating Russian Cities» (grant for state support of young Russian scientists No. -4946.2018.6); all other sections are written by M.S. Gunko in the framework of the project «Recurrent Population Mobility in the Rural-Urban Continuum» (grant of the Russian Science Fund No. 14–18–00083)*

---

## References

1. *Gudkov, L.* (2004). Negativnaya identichnost. Statyi 1997–2002 godov [Negative Identity. 1997–2002 articles]. Moscow, New Literary Observer Publ., 816.
2. *Zaborova, E.N.* (2002). Uchastie grazhdan v upravlenii gorodom [Citizen participation in urban management]. *Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya* [Sociological Research], 2, 23–31.
3. *Myasnikova, T.A.; V.P. Bocharov, I.E. Risin & Yu.I. Treshchevskiy* (Eds.). (2012). Tekhnologii strategirovaniya mestnogo razvitiya [Local development strategizing technologies]. *Upravlenie izmeneniyami v sotsialno-ekonomicheskikh sistemakh. Sbornik statey XI Mezhdunarodnoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii* [Change Management in Socio-Economic Systems. Proceedings of the XI International Research Conference]. Voronezh, Voronezh State University Publ., Iss. 11, Ch. 2, 56–72.
4. *Puzanov, A.S., R.A. Popov & D.M. Lantsev.* (2015). Metodicheskie rekomendatsii po optimizatsii strategicheskogo planirovaniya na munitsipalnom urovne [Methodical Recommendations on Optimization of Municipal Strategic Planning]. Moscow, Institute of Urban Economy Fund Publ., 32.
5. *Sadik-Khan, Dzh. & S. Solomonov.* (2017). Bitva za goroda. Kak izmenit nashi ulitsy [Battle for Cities. How to Change Our Streets]. Moscow, Olimp-Biznes Publ., 416.
6. *Arnstein, S.* (1969). A ladder of citizen participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 35(4), 216–224.
7. *Blokland, T., C. Hentschel, A. Holm et al.* (2015). Urban citizenship and right to the city: The fragmentation of claims. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 39 (4), 655–665.
8. *Hamdouch, A., T. Nyseth, C. Demazière et al.* (Eds.) (2017). Creative approaches to planning and local development. Insights from small and medium-sized towns in Europe. Abingdon, Routledge, 280.
9. *Douglas, G.* (2016). The formalities of informal improvement: technical and scholarly knowledge at work in do-it-yourself urban design. *Journal of Urbanism: International Research on Placemaking and Urban Sustainability*, 9 (2), 117–134.
10. *Dowding, K., P. Dunleavy, D. King & M. Margetts.* (1995). Rational choice and community power structures. *Political Studies*, 43, 265–277.
11. *Friesecke, F.* (2011). Public participation in urban development projects – A German perspective. Available at: [http://www.fig.net/resources/proceedings/fig\\_proceedings/fig2011/ppt/ts03d/ts03d\\_friesecke\\_4868\\_ppt.pdf](http://www.fig.net/resources/proceedings/fig_proceedings/fig2011/ppt/ts03d/ts03d_friesecke_4868_ppt.pdf) (date of access: 04.02.2018).
12. *Grabkowska, M.* (2015). Between gentrification and reurbanisation. The participatory dimension of bottom-up regeneration in Gdansk, Poland. *Geographie*, 120 (2), 210–225.

- 
13. *Hess, D. & M. Hiob.* (2014). Preservation by neglect in Soviet-era: town planning in Tartu, Estonia. *Journal of Planning History*, 13, 24–29.
14. *Kemp, A., H. Lebuhn & G. Rattner.* (2014). Between neoliberal governance and the right to the city: Participatory politics in Berlin and Tel Aviv. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 39 (4), 704–725.
15. *Liepa-Zemesa, M. & D. Hess.* (2016). Effects of public perception on urban planning: Evolution of an inclusive planning system during crises in Latvia. *Town Planning Review*, 87 (1), 71–92.
16. *Maier, K.* (2001). Citizen participation in planning: climbing a ladder? *European Planning Studies*, 9 (6), 707–719.
17. *Putnam, R.D.* (2010). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community.* New York, Simon & Schuster, 544.
18. *Raagmaa, G.* (2002). Regional identity in regional development and planning. *European Planning Studies*, 10 (1), 55–76.
19. *Robinson, J.* (2006). *Ordinary cities: between modernity and development.* London, Routledge, 244.
20. *Rose, N. & P. Miller.* (2009). Political power beyond the State: Problematics of government. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 61, 271–303.
21. *Sedlacek, S., B. Kurka & G. Maier.* (2009). Regional identity: a key to overcome structural weaknesses in peripheral rural regions? *European Countryside*, 1 (4), 180–201.
22. *Semian, M. & P. Chromy.* (2014). Regional identity as a driver or a barrier in the process of regional development: A comparison of selected European experience. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift*, 68 (5), 263–270.

### **Information about the authors**

*Gunko, Maria Sergeevna* (Moscow, Russia) – Candidate of Sciences (Geography), Researcher at the Institute of Geography, Russian Academy of Sciences (29, Staromonetny al., Moscow, 119017, Russia, e-mail: msgunko@igras.ru).

*Pivovar, Galina Aleksandrovna* (Moscow, Russia) – Post-Graduate at Lomonosov Moscow State University (Leninskie Gory, Moscow, GSP-1, 119991, Russia, e-mail: pivovar.gal@gmail.com).

05.04.2018 .

© . . . . . 2018

