

338.465.4

, 2018, 3 (99), 215–233

• • , • •

(20),

2017

2018

[2-10].

7,4%

( ),  
( ).

60

/

700 /

1100 / . . . . .  
-  
) ( -  
250 / . . ,

280 . [1], , « »<sup>1</sup> , 70 , , ,  
490  
:  
243  
590 - [5]. ,

- 90%,  
2% 36% ,  
20%.  
-

[7].

, . , , , 2012 .  
-  
-  
, , , 2017 .  
-

---

<sup>1</sup> .. : ? / . - URL:  
[http://www.greenpeace.org/russia/Global/russia/report/toxics/recycle/RUSSIA-GARBAGE\\_FIN.pdf](http://www.greenpeace.org/russia/Global/russia/report/toxics/recycle/RUSSIA-GARBAGE_FIN.pdf).

70                          280  
, 25%                      15%                          60%

—

(  
/        )

(life-cycle assessment).

: , , , , [11–14].

2

2                          , 2013                      22            39

,

---

( , .).

29.12.2014 .,

« » 1998 .

2017 .

3.

25.07.2017 .

2018–2021 .

,

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

2017 .

---

3

2019 .

1

,  
 78,6%  
 1,6 . . . ( . . 1).

I

	2015, 1	2015, ,	2000, ,	2015, %
	<b>1,59</b>	<b>37997,6</b>	<b>21275,6</b>	<b>4,8</b>
	<b>1,56</b>	<b>30046,8</b>	<b>16015,6</b>	<b>4,8</b>
	1,78	6415,8	4389,2	5,9
	0,13	124,4	56,0	97,1
	5,42	1162,9	514,1	0,7
	0,81	255,2	93,1	...
	1,99	1065,7	441,3	...
	1,40	3331,7	1363,1	...
	1,20	1302,0	1031,7	...
	2,02	5777,3	2798,7	9,7
	2,07	4995,1	2269,3	...
	1,72	4687,3	3742,8	16,0
	1,06	2924,7	1416,4	...
	1,20	2375,0	1313,2	...
	1,90	2045,5	975,9	0,3
( )	1,60	1535,0	870,8	...

: . . / . . -  
 .., 2012;  
 (www.gks.ru).

, 4,8%,

, ,

, -

, -

, .

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

, -

6,28

2016 . 300 ., 47,8 . 1 4.

83,9 90 -  
950 ., 11,3 . 1 , 2016 .<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> .: . – URL: <http://tass.ru/obschestvo/5174231> .  
300 .  
<sup>5</sup> .

, ( .  
292- 26.09.2016).

12 . 1 , 11 . 1  
, 2017 .

12 . 1 , 50 . 1  
2017 . -

6.

15–20 7.

25

2 .  
1 .  
2017 .

16

1 .

( ) 4,5

16,2 . 1 . , 400 . 6,5 . , 85%.

8.

, 3,3 . [4] 6,9 . -

6

., ,

,

« » 2015–2024 . (URL: [http://www.gubkinadm.ru/images/document/sessiisovdeputatov/prilog\\_28s\\_2s\\_2r.pdf](http://www.gubkinadm.ru/images/document/sessiisovdeputatov/prilog_28s_2s_2r.pdf)).

7 . 1,5

( .

02.11.1996).

8

., ,

[http://invest-in-voronezh.ru/download/inv\\_projects/perspective/other/Sozdanie\\_municipalnogo\\_pererabat\\_kompleksa.pdf](http://invest-in-voronezh.ru/download/inv_projects/perspective/other/Sozdanie_municipalnogo_pererabat_kompleksa.pdf) .



( . 2, 3)

204

80

153 390

,

60 80%

(

).

12%

33%,

25%.

( 3

25%

4

33%).

	( )							
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
,	9969	10130	10294	10461	10630	10803	10978	11155
,	478	2026	3088	5230	6378	8102	9880	11155
,	77	324	494	837	1021	1296	1581	1785
,	124	527	803	1360	1658	2107	2569	2900
,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
( )	9768	9279	8997	8264	7952	7400	6828	6470
,	11,3	8,8	15,3	9,3	12,8	13,0	8,0	1,4
:	—	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,3	0,3	0,3
,	2,0	2,0	—	—	—	—	—	—
,	9,3	6,4	12,9	6,9	10,3	10,7	7,7	1,1
,	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
,	1,6	6,7	10,2	17,3	21,0	26,7	32,6	36,8
,	12,0	12,2	12,4	12,6	12,8	13,0	13,2	13,4
, %	11,7	35,5	45,2	57,9	62,3	67,3	71,2	73,3
, %	4,8	20,0	30,0	50,0	60,0	75,0	90,0	100,0

. 2

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
, %	16,0	16,0	16,0	16,0	16,0	16,0	16,0	16,0
, %	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0
, %	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
( ), %	98,0	91,6	87,4	79,0	74,8	68,5	62,2	58,0

3

	( )							
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
, . :								
, ,	9969	10130	10294	10461	10630	10803	10978	11155
- -								
-	478	2026	5147	10461	10630	10803	10978	11155
-	77	810	2265	5021	5528	5941	6257	6693
-	124	527	1338	2720	2764	2809	2854	2900
-	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700
( )	9768	8726	6558	2520	2072	1719	1466	1095
, , , .	29,9	57,1	92,4	4,9	5,0	4,9	5,0	5,0
: -	—	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,3	0,3	0,3
	2,0	2,0	—	—	—	—	—	—

. 3

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
-	26,3	53,1	90,3	2,9	2,9	3,0	3,0	3,1
-	1,6	1,6	1,6	1,6	1,6	1,6	1,6	1,6
,	1,6	10,7	28,8	61,9	66,4	70,1	73,1	77,0
,	12,0	12,2	12,4	12,6	12,8	13,0	13,2	13,4
, %	11,7	46,7	70,0	83,1	83,9	84,4	84,7	85,2
, %	4,8	20,0	50,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0
, %	16,0	40,0	44,0	48,0	52,0	55,0	57,0	60,0
, %	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0	26,0
, %	0,0	14,5	13,0	11,0	17,1	24,4	32,2	44,8
( ), %	98,0	86,1	63,7	24,1	19,5	15,9	13,4	9,8

. 4.

- 0,6–1,5%  
2017 .

,  
24,9%

## 2018–2025

					2018–2025,	
		%		%	-	-
		2017		2017	-	-
	<b>79,9</b>	<b>2,1</b>	<b>204,1</b>	<b>4,8</b>	<b>152,9</b>	<b>389,5</b>
	<b>63,2</b>	<b>4,4</b>	<b>161,4</b>	<b>10,6</b>	<b>120,9</b>	<b>308,0</b>
	13,6	0,6	34,8	1,5	25,8	65,8
	0,4	3,2	1,2	9,2	0,5	1,3
	2,4	7,2	6,0	14,5	4,7	11,9
	0,5	4,7	1,3	13,9	1,0	2,6
	2,2	8,1	5,5	24,9	4,3	10,9
	6,8	9,0	17,2	20,4	13,4	34,2
	2,6	3,0	6,7	7,3	5,2	13,3
	12,6	3,0	32,2	7,6	23,2	59,2
	10,1	4,1	25,8	10,0	20,1	51,2
	10,6	6,4	27,4	13,2	18,9	48,0
	5,9	3,6	15,1	8,6	11,8	30,0
	4,8	5,1	12,3	12,3	9,6	24,3
	4,2	4,0	10,6	11,2	8,2	21,0
( )	3,1	3,1	7,9	7,9	6,2	15,7

---

( 200 . , 2018 .)  
« »

, 5-6

### XI.170 ( XI.170.1.1)

- 
11. *Belboom S., Digneffe J.M., Renzoni R. et al.* Comparing technologies for municipal solid waste management using life cycle assessment methodology: a Belgian case study // *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*. – 2013. – No. 18. – . 1513–1523.
  12. *Finnveden G., Johansson J., Lind P., Moberg A.* Life cycle assessment of energy from solid waste. P. 1: General methodology and results // *Journal of Cleaner Production*. – 2005. – No. 13. – . 213–229.
  13. *Gentil E.C., Damgaard A., Hauschild M. et al.* Models for waste life cycle assessment: Review of technical assumptions // *Waste Management*. – 2010. – No. 30. – . 2636–2648.
  14. *Moutavtchi V., Stenis J., Hogland W., Shepeleva A.* Solid waste management by application of the WAMED model // *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*. – 2010. – No. 12. – P. 169–183.

( , ) – -  
, .  
, .  
, 17, e-mail: gilmundinov@mail.ru).  
( , ) – -  
, .  
, 17, e-mail: tagaeva@ieie.nsc.ru).

DOI: 10.15372/REG20180311

*Region: Economics & Sociology, 2018, No. 3 (99), p. 215–233*

**V.M. Gilmundinov, T.O. Tagaeva**

## **ESTIMATION OF THE POTENTIAL PROCESSING OF HOUSEHOLD SOLID WASTE IN THE SIBERIAN REGIONS**

*The paper is concerned with the current trends and further prospects in the field of household solid waste (HSW) in the regions of Siberia with domestic and world experience. We demonstrate the weakness of the institu-*

---

*tional environment that emerged in the sphere of HSW circulation. It is shown that the institutional environment is a key factor hindering the development of modern waste processing forms. We suggest an aggregated approach to scenario simulating of HSW circulation, the application of which allows obtaining estimates of the required capital investments for the Siberian regions. The endorsement of this approach indicates high requirements to the return on sales of secondary material resources and lack of incentives to invest in an increase of household solid waste processing, which significantly limits the effectiveness of government measures to reform the industry.*

**Keywords:** household solid waste; utilization; waste; landfill; waste treatment; capital investment; institutional environment; treatment potential; Siberia

*The publication is prepared within the priority XI.170 (project No. XI.170.1.1) according to the research plan of the IEIE SB RAS*

## References

1. Gulin, K.A. (2016). Problema otkhodov v Rossii i ee territorialnye osobennosti [Waste issues and their regional specifics in Russia]. Problemy razvitiya territorii [Problem of Territory's Development], 4 (84), 7–22.
2. Zabotseva, T.I. (2016). Chto delat s otkhodami: normativno-zakonodatelnye resheniya i regionalnaya praktika [How to deal with waste management: regulatory and legislative decisions and regional practice]. EKO, 6, 155–167.
3. Bobylev, S.N., V.S. Vishnyakova, I.I. Komarova, A.V. Shevchuk (Ed.) et al. (2014). «Zelenaya» ekonomika: Novaya paradigma razvitiya strany [«Green» Economy: A New Paradigm of the Country's Development]. Moscow, Council for the Study of Productive Forces Publ., 248.
4. Kaplina, S.P., M.V. Semenova, K.S. Dzyuba et al. (2018). Tverdye kommunalnye otkhody kak vtorichnye materialnye resursy (na primere goroda Dubna Moskovskoy oblasti) [Municipal solid waste as secondary raw material (exemplified by Dubna, Moscow Region)]. Uspekhi sovremenogo estestvoznaniya [Advances in Current Natural Sciences], 2, 93–98.
5. Mamin, R.G., T.P. Vetrova & L.A. Shilova. (2013). Innovatsionnye mekhanizmy upravleniya otkhodami: monografiya [Innovative Instruments of Waste Management]. Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, National Research University Moscow State University of Civil Engineering (MGSU). Moscow, MGSU Publ., 136.
6. Nazarov, A.I. (2018). Upravlenie tverdymi otkhodami v subyekte Federatsii [Solid Waste Management in the Federation Member]. Yekaterinburg, Izdatelskie resheniya Publ., 296.

- 
7. *Piskulova, N.A.* (2010). *Ekologicheskiy vektor razvitiya mirovoy ekonomiki* [Development of the World Economy: Ecological Vector]. Moscow, Navona Publ., 240.
8. *Pliaskina, N.I. & V.N. Kharitonova.* (2014). *Plazmennye tekhnologii utilizatsii TBO: prodvizhenie innovatsiy na rynok* [Plasma technology of solid waste disposal: The promotion of innovation in the market]. *Innovatsii* [Innovations], 12, 67–79.
9. *Pliaskina, N.I. & V.N. Kharitonova.* (2016). *Upravlenie v sfere obrashcheniya s tverdymi kommunalnymi otkhodami: sovremennoe sostoyanie* [Management in the sphere of circulation solid municipal waste: Current status]. *EKO*, 12, 5–19.
10. *Sycheva, A. & M. Asadcheva.* (2013). *Sektor obrashcheniya s otkhodami: tekushchaya situatsiya, zakonodatelnye ramki, opyt regionov, perspektivy* [Waste disposal sector: current situation, legislative framework, experience of regions, prospects]. *Ustoychivoe razvitiye Rossii* [Sustainable Development of Russia]. Berlin – Saint-Petersburg, 76–84.
11. *Belboom, S., J.M. Digneffe, R. Renzoni et al.* (2013). Comparing technologies for municipal solid waste management using life cycle assessment methodology: a Belgian case study. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 18, 1513–1523.
12. *Finnveden, G., J. Johansson, P. Lind & A. Moberg.* (2005). Life cycle assessment of energy from solid waste – part 1: general methodology and results. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 13, 213–229.
13. *Gentil, E.C., A. Damgaard, M. Hauschild et al.* (2010). Models for waste life cycle assessment: Review of technical assumptions. *Waste Management*, 30, 2636–2648.
14. *Moutavchi, V., J. Stenius, W. Hogland & A. Shepeleva.* (2010). Solid waste management by application of the WAMED model. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*, 12, 169–183.

### Information about the authors

*Gilmundinov, Vadim Manavirovich* (Novosibirsk, Russia) – Candidate of Sciences (Economics), Deputy Director of the Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (17, Ac. Lavrentiev av., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia, e-mail: gilmundinov@mail.ru).

*Tagaeva, Tatyana Olegovna* (Novosibirsk, Russia) – Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Leading Researcher at the Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (17, Ac. Lavrentiev av., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia, e-mail: tagaeva@ieie.nsc.ru).

16.07.2018 .

© . . . , 2018